

JS-6

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CHAO TAI ELECTRONICS CO., LTD,
a Taiwanese corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

LEDUP ENTERPRISE, INC., a
California corporation; LOWE'S HIW,
INC., a Washington Corporation; THE
HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC., a
Delaware corporation; MARTHA
STEWART LIVING OMNIMEDIA,
INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES
1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: CV12-10137 R-MRW

**JUDGMENT GRANTING
DEFENDANT LOWE'S HIW, INC.'S
MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT OF INVALIDITY OF
THE '287 PATENT**

Hon. Manuel L. Real

[Action filed: November 28, 2012]

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

1 Having fully considered Defendant Lowe's HIW, Inc.'s, now known as Lowe's
2 Home Centers, LLC ("Lowe's"), Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of the
3 '287 patent, LedUp Enterprise, Inc.'s ("LedUp") Joinder of Lowe's Motion, Plaintiff
4 Chao Tai Electronics Co., Inc.'s ("Plaintiff") response thereto, Lowe's reply, the
5 declarations, exhibits, and memorandum submitted therewith, and the relevant
6 authorities cited,

7 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, JUDGED AND DECREED:**

8 1. U.S. Patent No. 7,301,287 is anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,972,528
9 and is, therefore, invalid.

10 2. Defendants Lowe's and LedUp are entitled to summary judgment of
11 invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 7,301,287 as a matter of law.

12 3. Defendant Lowe's Motion for Summary Judgment of invalidity is
13 granted.

14 4. For each cause of action alleged in the First Amended Complaint of
15 Patent Infringement, judgment is entered against Plaintiff and in favor of Defendants
16 Lowe's and LedUp, for each counterclaim of invalidity asserted by Defendants
17 Lowe's and LedUp, judgment is entered against Plaintiff and in favor of Defendants
18 Lowe's and LedUp, and Chao Tai's First Amended Complaint is hereby DISMISSED
19 WITH PREJUDICE.

20
21 **IT IS SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED.**

22
23 Dated: March 4, 2014



24
25
26 Manuel L. Real, U.S. District Judge
27
28